

REMARKS

Please reconsider the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks. Applicant thanks the Examiner for carefully considering this application.

Disposition of Claims

Claims 1-11 and 13-25 were pending in the present patent application. By way of this reply, claims 6, 15, and 20 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Accordingly, claims 1-5, 7-11, 13, 14, 16-19, and 21-25 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 11, and 17 are independent. The remaining claims depend, either directly or indirectly, from claims 1, 11, and 17.

Claim Amendments

Claims 1, 11, 14, 17, 21, and 22 have been amended for clarification. No new matter has been added by way of these amendments as support for these amendments may be found, for example, in Figure 6 and paragraph [0060] of the instant Specification. Specifically, independent claims 1, 11, and 17 have been amended to include the limitations of now cancelled dependent claims 6, 15, and 20 respectively. As dependent claims 6, 15, and 20 were originally submitted with the application, Applicant believes no further search or consideration is necessary.

Drawings

Applicant has enclosed six (6) properly labeled replacement sheets in accordance with 37 CFR §1.84 to replace the informal drawings submitted on August 13, 2001. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner acknowledge the replacement sheets as acceptable.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C §102

Claims 1-11 and 13-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0103935 (hereinafter “Fishman”). By way of this reply, claims 6, 15, and 20 have been cancelled and thus, the rejection is moot as to those claims. As for the remaining claims, for the reasons set forth below, this rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite, in part, “a content link rewriter configured to rewrite embedded links in the aggregated content from said web-sites to go through said wireless server system as an intermediary.” Independent claims 11 and 17 have been amended in a similar fashion. Advantageously, content that is ultimately provided to the wireless client appears to originate from the wireless server. All links therefore go through the wireless server.

Fisherman discloses using a mobile gateway to customize content based on one or more operating characteristics of a mobile client. Types of content include email, tables, and web-pages. (*See* Fisherman at paragraphs [0024], [0033], [0040], and [0047]). However, Fisherman does not teach or suggest a content link rewriter configured to rewrite embedded links in the retrieved content. In fact, Fisherman is focused solely on customizing retrieved content so it may be in an appropriate format for a specific mobile device. The Examiner has attempted to equate the content link rewriter as recited in the claims with the transforms disclosed in Fisherman. (*See* Office Action dated May 5, 2005 at page 5). This association is improper because each transform disclosed in Fisherman is used to customize data for a specific mobile device/client and spare Fisherman’s content server from supporting more

than a single communication protocol for exchanging content. (*See* Fisherman at paragraphs [0041] - [0045]). However, Fisherman is silent on forcing the wireless server ("mobile gateway") or the transforms in the mobile gateway to act as an intermediary for embedded links in the retrieved content as recited in the claims.


Therefore, claims 1, 11, and 17 are patentable over Fisherman. Claims 2-5, 7-10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, and 21-25 depend, either directly or indirectly, from claims 1, 11, and 17 and are allowable for at least the reasons. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

Applicant believes this reply is fully responsive to all outstanding issues and places this application in condition for allowance. If this belief is incorrect, or other issues arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned or his associates at the telephone number listed below. Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 50-0591 (Reference Number 03226/508001).

Dated: July 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Robert P. Lord
Registration No.: 46,479
OSHA • LIANG LLP
1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800
Houston, Texas 77010
(713) 228-8600
(713) 228-8778 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant

Attachments

100358_1.DOC